		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	narv	10/770,933	CHERNOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sunray Chang	2121
All Participants:		Status of Application:	<u>open</u>
(1) <u>Sunray Chang</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Kathryn A. Marra</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2006		Time: <u>3:30PM</u>	
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 19 and 20 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner suggests an examiner amendment to co condition for allowance.	ombine cla	im9 into claim 1, claim20 into	claim 19 to place the application into
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The	e examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
Suntay Chang (Examiner/SPE Signature)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)